IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU
WRIT PETITION NO : 16718 of 2004

Between:
K.Hanimi Reddy, S/o.Basi Reddy,
Christu Jyothi High School, Christian Palle,
Occ:Head Master, Mahaboobnagar Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Govt. of A.P., rep. by its Secretary, Education Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Govt. of A.P., rep. by its Secretary Finance (ESE)Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3 The Commissioner & Director, School Education, A.P., Saifabad, Hyderabad.
4 District Educational officer, Mahaboobnagar, Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not Admission the petitioner posts in to grant in aid as per the recommendations of the High level committee on par with other similarly situated persons were admitted into grant in aid as illegal arbitrary discriminatory and violate of Article 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to release the entire arrears of salaries attached to the posts of petitioner including all benefits from the year 1994.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.GIRIMOJI RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos 1, 3 and 4.: GP FOR EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondent No. 2 : G P for Finance and Planning.

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

ORDER

The Petitioner contends that he is working as un-aided Head Master and as a High-level Committee constituted vide G.O. Rt. No. 220 Education (SSE) Department, dated 24.02.1988 recommended to the first respondent-Government in Letter RC No. 1452/D2-1/94, dated 28.10.1994 to admit certain posts in Grant-in-aid as per G.O. Ms. No. 238, dated 27.5.1986 and it is pending with the first respondent since then. The Petitioner contends that he is also one of the candidates in one of the 17 schools in respect of which the recommendations were made.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the first respondent is not passing any orders on the recommendation made by the High-level Committee and unless the first respondent passes appropriate orders on the recommendations of the High-level Committee, so much hardship will be caused to him. Therefore, he approached this Court through this writ petition seeking direction to the first respondent to consider the recommendations of the High-level Committee and to pass

appropriate orders.

Heard the learned counsel on both sides.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the first respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the recommendations made by the High-level Committee dated 28.10.1994, keeping in view of the administrative convenience and financial viability, within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Kvsn 17-09-2004

To

1 The Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of A.P., Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Secretary, Finance (ESE) Dept, Govt. of A.P., Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Commissioner & Director, School Education, A.P., Saifabad, Hyderabad.

4 District Educational officer, Mahaboobnagar, Dist.

5 The G P for Education, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.

6 The G P for Finance & Planning, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.
7 Two C D copies.